

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/084,266 | | 02/25/2002 | Shoji Nakajima | 3688KG-1 | 7101 |
| 22442 | 7590 | 11/29/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| | AN ROSS I DADWAY | PC | LONEY, DONALD J | | |
| SUITE 12 | | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| DENVER, CO 80202 | | | | 1772 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Antique Community | 10/084,266 | NAKAJIMA ET AL. | \mathcal{W} | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Donald Loney | 1772 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL | | | | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron | mely filed ys will be considered timely. for this communic | cation. | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 S | eptember 2004. | | | | | | | |
| · | action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pr | osecution as to the merit | s is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 24-39</u> is/are pending in the | application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-19,24-28 and 32-3</u> | | ation. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-31</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 | 1(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152 | ••• | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori | ty documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) | (PTO-413) te | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claim29-39 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The above claims are directed to a process which was restricted from the product and apparatus in the restriction dated February 3, 2004 in which the applicant elected the product claims for examination. Therefore, the product claims are the originally elected claims. The product can be made by a materially different process such a thermal bonding.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 29-39 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

2. Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either JP 06-184891 or Schmoock.

Both references teach two members bonded with a hot-melt adhesive wherein the first member has at least one thinner portion than the rest of the first member. Refer to figures 1 and 3 in Schmoock that discloses a first leather or paper member 2 that has a profiled surface 4 (i.e. some portions are thinner than others) bonded to a resin layer 11 or 13 using a hot-melt adhesive layer 3 along with column 3, lines 48-68, column 4, lines 1-17 and 43-65, column 5, lines 17-41, column 6, lines 37-65, column 8, lines 29-63 and column 9, lines 1-17. In JP 06-184891 a first decorative resin object layer 1

Art Unit: 1772

containing a concave profile (i.e. one part is thinner than the other) has a hot-melt adhesive 4 thereon which is used to then bond this structure to a cloth covering layer 5. Refer to the Figures, Example section and claims 4 and 6 in the machine translation supplied by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 3, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues the process steps used to prepare the product are different than the prior art. With respect to the above product by process claims it must be emphasized it is the patentability of the product that is in issue not the steps employed to prepare said product. See MPEP section 2113.

3. The examiner notes the applicant refers to Japanese Patent No. 3530979 in the third paragraph of page 10 in the response file September 3, 2004, however, the examiner does not see an information disclosure statement containing said reference. Clarification as to the pertinency of this reference is kindly requested along with an English translation in order for it to be properly considered.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1772

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Loney whose telephone number is (571) 272-1493. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. 8AM-4PM, maxi-flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1772

Donald Loney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

DJL:D.Loney 11/22/04